#### G. M. Kitanov and K. F. Blinova

UDC 582,824,547

This review gives complete information on the chemical study of 66 species of the genus Hypericum L. In individual sections the study of various groups of substances is discussed in a historical framework. The compounds isolated are given - hypericins, flavanols and tanning substances, flavones, flavonols, xanthones, coumarins, phenolcarboxylic acids, antibiotic substances, essential-oil compositions, nonvolatile saturated hydrocarbons, and other compounds. The value of these groups of substances in the connection with the pharmacological action and the therapeutic use of Hypericum species is shown. The structural formulas of 85 isolated compounds and their distribution in the species studied are given. The chemosystematic value of individual substances for the genus Hypericum and the family Guttiferae is discussed.

The genus Hypericum L. belongs to the tribe Hypericeae Choisy, subfamily Hypericoideae Engl. and is the largest genus of the family Guttiferae Juss. [1]. It numbers about 400 species belonging to 30 sections [2, 3].

Representatives of the Hypericum genus are widely used in folk and scientific medicine for various diseases. In all modern handbooks on medicinal plants voluminous information is given on the use of common St. John's wort (H. perforatum). The antiinflammatory, astringent, capillary-strengthening, wound-healing, psychotropic and antibiotic action of preparations of it is widely known. According to folk medicine, a number of other species of this genus also have medicinal properties. Even Dioscorides referred to the use of four species of Hypericum: Upericon, Askuron, Androsaimon, and Koris [2]. It has recently been established that the diuretic action of preparations of H. elongatum Lebed. and H. scabrum L. several times exceed the action of H. perforatum L. [4]. Information on pharmacological investigations, the use in folk and scientific medicine and the economic value of plants of the genus Hypericum has been given in a review [5].

Interest in the chemical study of representatives of the genus Hypericum is due not only to their economic value and their use in medicine but also to the development of chemosystematic investigations [6, 7]. The greatest number of investigations has been devoted to a phytochemical study of H. perforatum, but information is also found in the literature on the chemical composition of 66 species. The majority of them have been investigated for the presence of phenolic compounds and essential oil. Results on the chemistry of the Hypericum genus obtained up to 1976 has been summarized in several publications [6, 8-11]. The majority of authors give information on common St. John's wort, but in a review by Bandyukova and Khalmatov [10] the information relates to the presence of flavonoids and hypericin in plants of the Hypericum genus. Hegnauer [6] mentions some of the investigations more important for the chemosystematics of the genus up to 1965. At the present time, a considerable amount of material on the chemistry of the genus has accumulated, and is therefore appeared desirable to generalize the results of the investigation of all Hypericum species.\*

# DIANTHRONE DERIVATIVES. HYPERICINS

One of the most interesting biologically active substances of St. John's wort is hypericin (I). This red pigment, which is specific for the Hypericum genus causes a peculiar

\*Publications relating to the properties, accumulation, concentration, and localization in the plants of the groups of substances considered are not included in this review.

Pharmaceutical Faculty, Sofia Medical Scademy. Leningrad Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 2, pp. 185-203, March-April, 1987. Original article submitted June 11, 1986; revision submitted November 27, 1986.

TABLE 1. Distribution of Fnenolic Compounds in Species of the Genus $\mathit{Hypertcum}$ L.	TToua	c Compounds	s in Species o	r tne Genus Hyperi	cum L.	
Sections and species*	Hyper- icin	Flavanols	Flavanones and flavanois	Xanthones	Coumarins and phenolic acids	Literature
Campylosporus (Spach) R. Kel-						
Psorophytum (Spach) Nyman (1) H. balearicum L.	- <b>-</b> -		ΛIX	XXXVII, XXXIX, XLIV		3, 41 69
Ascyreia C h o i s y (36) H. calycinum L. H. chinense L. (=H. monogynum L.)	1	IIA	XIV, XV, XXI XI, XIV, XVI,	LVIII		3, 41 48, 51, 80, 94 51, 70
H. hookeranum Wight et Arn.		VII, VIII	XI, XIV, XV,		LXIII, LXIV	50, 51, 77
H. mysorense Wight et Arn.			, vvIII, vvI	XXXVII, XXXVIII,		
H. patulum Thunb. ex Murray		VII, VIII	XI, XIV, XV,	XLVII		96, 97, 141 48, 51
Takasagoya (Y. Kimura) N. Rob-			īvv			3 41
Androsaemum (Duhamel) Godron	l					3,4
(4) H. androsaemum L.	1	VII, VIII	XIP, XIV, XV	XLIII, XLIV, XLVIII, L LXIII, LXV	LXIII, LXV	6, 51, 76, 94, 95,
H. elatum Ait. (=H. inodorum Mil.			XIV, XV, XXI	Li, Lii, Liv, Lvi, Lviii		48, 80
H. hircinum L.		VII	XIV, XV, XVII		LXIII, LXIV	6, 50, 77
Inodora Stel. (1) H. inodorum Willd. (=FL xylostei- felim (Scach) N. Dobech)	1	VII	XI, XIV, XV, XVI			9, 41 51 85
Roscyna (Spach) R. Keller (3-4) H. ascyron L.	l	VII	XI, XIV, XV,		LXIII, LXIV	3, 41 50, 51, 77, 78
H. przewalskii Maxim.	4	VII	XI, XIV			3.41
Hypericum (48) Hypericum (48) H. attenuatum Choisy H. elegans Stephan ex Willd.	++		XIV, XV XVIII		LXIV	3, 41 65

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Literature	63, 143 50, 51, 62, 65, 77, 88, 85, 87,	4, 47, 50, 52 57, 58, 61, 62, 66, 77, 83, 87,	89, 94, 105, 106 50, 51, 58, 70, 77, 83, 87	50, 77	3, 41 51, 62, 88	50, 51, 77	3, 41 3, 41 5, 41 60, 99	3, 41 75 70 C0 100	51, 70, 83,	3, 41 3, 41 60, 80	3, 41 4, 59, 66, 80
Coumarins and phenolic acids	LXI, LXII LXIII, LXIV	LIX, LX LXIII, LXIV	LXIII, LXIV	LXIII, LXIV	LXIV	LXIII					רוג, נג
Xanthones	LV, LVII, LVIII	LVIII					Λ.	LV LI, LV	ГУ		
Flavones and flavonols	XIV, XV, XX    XI, XIV, XV,   XVII, XXI	XIV, XV, XVI? XVII, XXI	XI, XIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XXI	XIV, XV, XVII,	XIV, XV, XXI,	XI, XIV, XV XVII, XXIV	XI, XIV, XXIV XV, XVII, XXI	XI, XIV, XVI,	XI, XIV, XV,	XIV, XV, XVII	XIV, XV, XVII,
Flavanols	VII, VIII	VII, IX, X	VII, VIII	VII	VII, VIII	VII, VIII	VII, VIII		VII, VIII		
Hyper- icin					+		+++	+		+#	+
Sections and species*	H. erectum Thunb. ex Murray H. maculatum Crantz (=H. quadrangulum L.)	H. perforatum L.	H. tetrapterum Fries (= H. acutum	Moencill H. undulatum Schousb. ex Willd.	Olympia (Spach) Nyman (2) H. olympicum L.	H. polyphyllum Boiss, et Bal.	Campylopus Boiss. (1) Origanifolia Stef. (4) Droscarpium Spach. (12) H. rumeliacum Boiss. H. richerii Vill.	H. barbatum Jack. Olygostema (Boiss.) Stef. (7) H. aucheri Jaub. et Spach.	H. humifusum L.	Thasia Boiss. (1) Crossophyllum. Spach. (2) H. ptarmicifolium. Spach	(H. orientale L.) Hyrtella Stef. (24) H. elongatum Ledeb.

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Sections and species	Hyper- icin	Flavanols	Flavones and flavonois	Xanthones	Coumarine and phenolic acids	Literature
H. helianthemoides (Spach) Boiss. H. scabrum L.			XIV, XV, XXI XIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XVIII, XXI,		LIX, LX LIX, LX	66 4, 59, 63, 71, 80,
Taeniocarpium Jaub. et Spach. (23) H. hirsutum L.	+	VII, VIII, IX,	XXIV, XXV XXIV, XV, XVI, XVII, XXI, XXIV, XXVI, XXI, XXIV,		LXIII, LXIV, LXVI, LXVII, LXVIII	3, 41 50, 51, 54, 58, 61, 62, 73, 74, 77, 83, 84, 104
H. nummularioides Trautv H. nummularium L.		VII, VIII	XXXI, XXXII XIV, XV XI, XIV, XXI,			60, 80 51, 56, 70
H. pulchrum L. Coridium S p a c h (5) H. empetrifolium W i 11 d. H. ericoides L.	+	VII, VIII VII, VIII	XIV, XVI, XVIII XI2, XIV XIV, XV, XXXIII XXXVII, XXXIX,	XXXVII. XXXIX, XLIX		51, 70 3, 41 51
Myriandra (Spach) R. Keller (30) H. crux—andreae (L.) Grantz H. densilforum Pursh	1		XIX, XXIX, XXX XIV, XV, XXI			68, 98 3, 41 140 48, 80
et Robson H. galioides Lam. H. hypericoides (L.) Crantz			XX XIV, XV, XXI XX			140 48 140
A. microseparum (1. et G.) A. Gray ex S. Watson H. prolificum L. H. suffruticosum Adams et Rob.		·	XII, XIII, XX XI, XIV			140 51
κ .			XVII XV, XVII, XIX XIII, XIV, XVII, XXXII, XXIX, XXXIV, XXXV			140 140

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Sections and species*	Hyper-	Flavanols	Flavo <b>nes</b> flavanols	Xanthones		Coumarins and phenolic acids	Literature
Webbia (Spach) R. Keller (1) II. canariense L.	1	VII, VIII	XI, XIV	XXXVII, XXXIX, XL LXIII	(IX, XL	ГХІІІ	3, 41 6, 142
Arthrophyllum Jaub. et Spach (1) Triadenoides Jaub. et Spach (5) Heterophylla N. Robson (1)	1+1			ALIII			3, 41 3, 41 41
Adenotrias (Jaub. et Spach) R. Keller (3) Humifusoideum R. Keller (10)	+						6,6,6 14.4 14.4
Adviosepalum Spach (33) H. degenii Bornm. (≠H. annulatum Moris) H. mentanum I.	+	VII, IX, X	XI, XIV, XV, XV, XVIII, XXIV XXIV	XLVI		TXIN TXIII	3, 41 50, 53, 62, 77, 81, 82 51, 65, 70, 83
H. tomentosum L. Elodes (Adans.) W. Koch. (1)	1	VII	XVII, XXI, XXIV XIV, XV, XVII			LXIII, LXIV	50, 77, 92 3, 41
Brathys (Mutis ex L. f.) Choisy (48)	 						3, 41
(54) II. japonicum Thumb. ex Murray	 <del></del>		XIV, XXII				3, 41 64, 72
Species n	not give	n in N. K. Robse	Species not given in N. K. Robson's classification				
H. caucasicum (Woron.) Gorschk.			XIV, XV				08 09 1

60, 80 61	21	sections and species are given in accordance with N. K. Robson's classification
LXIII		. Robson
		nce with N. K.
XIV, XVII XIV, XVII XVI, XVII, XXI	XI, XIV	sections and species are given in accordance
VII, VIII	NII	species are g
H. grandill rum Salisb. H. polygonifolium Rupr. H. prolisirum L.	H. pyramidatum Ait.	*The names of the sections and s

light-sensitivity and disease in animals with light-colored wool (photodynamic effect). Hypericin was first reported by Buchner in 1830 and was isolated by Dietrich in 1891. It was given the name "hypericin" by S. Czerny in 1911 [9, 11].

The greatest contribution to its all-sided study was made by Hans Brockmann and his school. The investigations were begun in 1939 [12]; in 1942 the basic skeleton was established, and in 1950-1951 its definitive structure [13-15]; and later the complete synthesis of hypericin was performed [16-19]. It was established that (I) is 4,4',5,5',7,7'-hexahydroxy-2,2'-dimethyl-meso-naphthodianthrone.

It was also found that the herbage of St. John's wort contains not only hypericin but also photodynamic pigments. In 1941, N. Pace and G. Mackinney reported the isolation from St. John's wort of other photodynamic fractions in addition to hypericin [20]. R. C. Betty and V. M. Trikojus, working in the absence of light, isolated from the herbage of St. John's wort a nonfluorescing pigment as a precursor which, after irradiation, was rapidly converted into hypericin [21, 22]. Later, H. Brockman et al., detected in a number of species and isolated a new red pigment — pseudohypericin (II) [23-25]. Its definitive structure was established in 1975 and it was synthesized [26-28].

A more detailed study of these experiments led to the detection and isolation from plants of precursors of hypericin and pseudohypericin. *H. montanum* L. yielded protohypericin (III)) and hypericodehydrodianthrone (V), and *H. perforatum* yielded frangula emodin athranol a mixture of (III) and protopseudohypericin (IV), and also a mixture of (V) and pseudohypericodehydrodianthrone (VI) [19]. H. J. Banks et al., however, have shown the absence of emodin anthrone and of hypericodehydrodianthrone from fresh flowers of *H. perforatum* [30]. The synthesis of protohypericin has been carried out from penicilliopsin, emodin anthrone and emodin [31-35].

On the basis of these investigations, it is assumed that the biosynthesis of hypericin in plants takes place from frangula emodin via emodin anthrone, dianthrone (penicilliopsin), hypericodehydrodianthrone (V), and protohypericin (III) [29].

A number of authors have studied the distribution of (I) in species of the genus Hypericum [25, 34-40], but the fullest information on their presence in about 222 species has been given by C. Mathis and G. Ourisson [41]. The distribution of hypericin in representatives of sections of the genus Hypericum is given in Table 1. The fact that (I) is not present in all species of St. John's wort but only in representatives of certain taxons has served as a basis for its use for the purposes of chemosystematics and it has great value for the classification of these species of the genus Hypericum [3, 41, 42]. Its presence explains the antidepressant action of St. John's wort and its use in some skin diseases [8, 43-46].

## TANNING SUBSTANCES. FLAVANOLS

Tanning substances are widely distributed in the species of the genus *Hypericum*. They belong to the catechin type and are condensed products of flavan-3-ol (catechin) and of flavan-3,4-diol (leucoanthocyanidin).

Tanning substances have been detected in 29 species of Hypericum [4, 40, 47-49], but their chemical composition has been little studied. The presence of precursors of condensed tannides — leucoanthocyanidins — in the leaves, stems, buds, and flowers of H. perforatum has been studied by A. Michaluk. Leucocyanidin (VII) was identified by chromatographic and spectrographic methods and its distribution in ten other species was studied. Poly-

leucocyanidin was detected in a methanolic extract of the leaves of *H. perforatum*. Lebreton and Buchez investigated the distribution of leucoanthocyanidins in 21 species of *Hypericum* and found that all species contained (VII). Only in certain species was leucodelphinidin (VIII) and one unknown leucoanthocyanin detected [51].

Shakirova and Khazanovich [48] have reported on the presence of monomeric catechins, finding by means of their R<sub>f</sub> values six compounds of the catechin group in certain shrubby species. Four catechins were detected in the herbage of other species. Two of them, the predominating components in the mixture of catechins, were isolated in the crystalline form and were identified as (+)-catechin (IX) and (-)-epicatechin (X) [52-54]. Their absolute configurations were established [55].

It has been reported in the literature that *H. perforatum* contains not only tanning substances of the catechin type but also gallotannins [11]. The distribution of flavanols in the species of the genus *Hypericum* is given in Table 1.

Condensed tanning substances are responsible for the internal and external use of the herbage of St. John's wort as astringent, antiinflammatory, and styptic agents, and monomeric flavonols possess a high capillary-strengthening activity.

#### FLAVONOLS AND FLAVONES

Flavonoid compounds have ubiquitous distribution in representatives of the genus Hypericum. The presence of flavonoids in H. perforatum was first reported in 1915, and in 1918 O'Neill detected quercetin [9]. The first glycoside isolated in the crystalline form was hyperin or hyperoside [57]. Systematic investigations of Hypericum flavonoids were begun in 1956 [47]. So far, flavonoids have been detected in 61 species [6, 47-49, 51, 58-69, 140]. Individual compounds have been detected in 54 species. The majority of them have been investigated comparatively by chromatographic methods.

All the species investigated contain quercitin (XIV) and some of its glycosides. In the majority of species, kaempferol is presence in trace amounts, while myricetin (XXIV) has a limited distribution. It has been detected in only nine species.

The main component among the flavonol glycosides is hyperoside (XV). A number of authors has reported that the isolation and study of isoquercitrin (XVI) is difficult because its properties are very similar to those of hyperoside. Avicularin (XVIII) has been found in two species, and compounds (XXII) and (XXIII) in only one [70-72].\* Biosides in representatives of the genus Hypericum are kaempferol 3-0-glucogalactoside (XIII), quercetin 3-0-glucogalactoside (XX) quercetin 3-0-rutinoside (rutin) (XXI), and myricetin 3-0-rutinoside (XXV). Compound (XIII) has been detected in two, and bioside (XX) in three, species [140]. The myricetin bioside (XXV) has been isolated from the herbage of H. scabrum [71]. Rutin is the most widely distributed of these compounds. Information on its presence in several species is contradictory, while in others it is definitely absent. N. Nikolov et al. have reported the isolation of an isomorphous mixture of two biosides (rutin and quercitin 3-0-galactorhamnoside) from H. perforatum [62].

It was considered for a long time that only flavonols accumulate in species of the *Hypericum* genus. The presence of favones was first reported by Lebreton and

<sup>\*</sup>Zaichikova and Barabanov report that quercetin 7-rhamnoside (XXII) has been detected chromatographically in *H. scabrum* but they give it the trivial name quercitrin, which corresponds to structure (VII) (quercetin 3-0-rhamnoside) [71].

Buchez, who detected luteolin (XXVI) in *H. hirsutum* L. chromatographically [51]. From the same species, Kitanov et al. isolated (XXVI) and its C-glycosides homoorientin (XXVIII) and orientin (XXXII) and an acylated C-glucoside for which structure (XXXII) (2"-acetylorientin) was established [73-74]. Two new flavones, apigenin derivatives, were isolated later: 6-C-methyl-7-0-methylapigenin (XXXIII) from *H. erichoides* L. [68] and 3,8"-bisapigenin (XXXVI) from *H. aucheri* Jaub. et Spach [75]. Compounds (XXXIII), (XXXIII), and (XXXIV) have so far been found only in representatives of the genus *Hypericum*. The structures of flavonoids (XII, XIX, XXIX, XXX, XXXIV, and XXXV) has not yet been completely established [140]. The distribution of flavonoid compounds in representatives of the *Hypericum* genus is given in Table 1.

The high level of flavonoids in species of the *Hypericum* genus is also reponsible for some aspects of their use in folk and scientific medicine — capillary-strengthening, antiin-flammatory, diuretic, and cholagogic effects. Hyperoside expands the coronary vessels and the use of St. John's wort as a cardiototonic agent may be connected with this fact.

# XANTHONES

Considerable interest from the chemosystematic and medical points of view has been aroused by the detection of xanthones in species of the \*Hypericum\* genus and their isolation. Since some botanists assign the genus \*Hypericum\* to the broader family \*Guttiferae\*, in representatives of which a large number of xanthone compounds have been found, while others separated it as an independent family Hypericaceae, the study of the presence and distribution of xanthones in species of the \*Hypericum\* genus will permit an elucidation of the systematic position of this genus and also the validity of the existence of this genus of the Hypericaceae family as an independent one [7]. This fact was the starting point for the investigation of representatives of the \*Hypericum\* genus for the presence of xanthones.

Up to 1978, only the xanthone C-glycoside mangiferin (LV) has been isolated from H. humifusum L. and maculatoxanthone (LVII) from the roots of H. maculatum Crantz [70, 93]. Recently, work has been done on the isolation of xanthones from other Hypericum species.

<sup>\*</sup>Sugar not identified.

They have been found in 23 species [49, 69, 70, 94, 96, 98, 142], but seven species have been studied in more detail (Table 1).

Up to the present time, 17 simple xanthones (XXXVII-LIII), the pyranoxanthones toxilo-xanthone B (LVI) and maculatoxanthone (LVII), the prenylxanthone (LIV), and the xanthono-lignoid kielcorin (LVIII) have been isolated. Xanthones with two substituents are found more frequently. Xanthone glycosides are represented by mangiferin (LV), which has been detected in 5 species. The xanthonolignoid (LVIII) has been isolated from the roots of four species [94] and from the epigeal part of H. ericoides [68]. The largest number of xanthones has been found in the roots of H. androsaemum L. [95].

XXXVII. 2-Hydroxyxanthone XXXVIII. 2-Methoxyxanthone XXXIX. 1,7-Dihydroxyzanthone XL. 2,5-Dihydroxyxanthone XLVII. 1-Hydroxy-6,7-dimethoxyxanthone XLVIII. 3-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethoxyxanthone XLIX. 2,3,4-Trimethoxyxanthone 1,3,5,6-Tetrahydroxyxanthone 1,3,6,7-Tetrahydroxyxanthone 1-Hydroxy-7-methoxyxanthone 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxyxanthone XLI. LI. XLII. LII. 1,5,6-Trihydroxy-3-methoxyxanthone 2-Hydroxy-5,6,7-trimethoxyxanthone III.IX 2-Hydroxy-5-methoxyxanthone LIII. 1,3,6,7-Tetrahydroxy-8-prenylxanthone 2-C-glucosyl-1,3,6,7-tetrahydroxy-3-Hydroxy-2-methoxyxanthone LIV. 2,3-Dimethoxyxanthone LV. XLVI. 1,3,7-Trihydroxyxanthone xanthone (= mangiferin)

The study of these compounds is interesting not only for the taxonomy of the genus but also from the pharmacological point of view. Xanthones possess an antidepressant action and an antitubercular activity, while xanthone glycosides have a depressant action. A choleretic, diuretic, antimicrobial, antiviral, and cardiotonic action of some xanthones has also been established [46, 101, 102].

# COUMARINS AND PHENOLIC CARBOXYLIC ACIDS

Very few species of the *Hypericum* genus have been studied for the presence of coumarins. So far, only umbelliferone (LIX) and scopoletin (LX) have been isolated from four species of St. John's wort [66]. Two coumarinocoumarones, demethylwedelolactone (LXI) and wedelolactone (LXII), which possess an antihemorrhagic action have recently been isolated from the epigeal part of *H. erectum* Th. [143]. This is stimulating a further study of representatives of the *Hypericum* genus for the presence of coumarin compounds.

Phenolic carboxylic acids are represented among the St. John's worts mainly by caffeic acid (LXIII) and chlorogenic acid (LXIV). Both acids were detected in H. perforatum for the first time in 1960 [87, 105]. Their presence has been determined in 17 species. Caffeic acid has been isolated from H. perforatum in an amount of 0.1% [1-5] and chlorogenic acid from H. nummularium L. in an amount of 2% [56]. Shikimic acid (LXV) has been obtained from the fruit of H. androsaemum [103]. The presence of ellagic acid in H. olympicum L. [51] and of gentisic acid in H. perforatum [107] has been mentioned, but they have not been iso-

lated in the pure form. p-Hydroxybenzoic acid (LXVI), protocatechuic acid (LXVII), and gallic acid (LXVIII) have been isolated from *H. hirsutum* [104]. The distribution of phenolic carboxylic acids and coumarins in species of the *Hypericum* genus is given in Table 1.

#### PHLOROGLUCINOL DERIVATIVES AND HYPERFORIN

Great interest has been caused by the detection and isolation of plant antibiotic substances from several species of the genus *Hypericum*. The antimicrobial properties of common St. John's wort were described by Osborn in 1943 [108]. The presence of antibacterial substances has also been detected in other species of the *Hypericum* genus [11, 109]. The antimicrobial preparations "Imanin" and "Novoimanin" have been created on the basis of extracts from *H. perforatum* and have recently been introduced into medical practice [11].

Two antibiotic compounds have been isolated from *H. uliginosum* H.B.K. and have been called uliginoside A (LXIX) and uliginoside B (LXX) [110]. Their structures have been established by Parker and Johnston [111]. Both uliginosides are derivatives of phloroglucinol and are very similar in structure to the components of ferns such as aspidin and flavaspidinic acid. Compounds with a similar structure — japonicin A (LXXI) and sarothralin (LXXII) — have recently been isolated from *H. japonicum* Thumb. [112, 114], the first of them having an antimalarial action and the second an antibiotic action. A sub-

stance with a high antibiotic activity has been isolated from *H. perforatum* and has been called hyperforin [113]. As a results of detailed investigation, structure (LXXIII) has been established for hyperforin [114] and its stereochemistry has been determined [115]. Under the action of light and atmospheric oxygen, (LXXIII) is converted into a complex mixture of substances possessing a considerably lower antimicrobial activity.

# COMPONENTS OF THE ESSENTIAL OIL AND ALKANES

The presence of essential oil is a characteristic feature of species of the genus Hypericum. It is localized in the secretory channels of the fruit and stems and in numerous endogenous formations of the leaves and flowers.

In 1905, H. Hensel studied some physical constants of the essential oil of H. perforatum for the first time [9]. In 1925, Zellner and Porodko studied its composition and detected  $\alpha$ -pinene, myrcene, cinneole, sesquiterpenes, and esters of isovaleric acid in it [116]. Then Miller also showed the presence of  $\alpha$ -pinene, sesquiterpenes, and olefinic hydrocarbons [117]. In 1961, n-decanal was found in the same species and limonene and benzyl alcohol in the essential oil of H. androsaemum [39]. Later, Chialva et al. in a study of the composition of the essential oil of H. perforatum identified 29 compounds (10 monoterpenes, 6 sesquiterpenes, and 13 aliphatic hydrocarbons) [145].

At the present time, an essential oil has been found and determined quantitatively in 41 Hypericum species, while the composition of the essential oil has been studied for 37 species [4, 48, 118-121, 124, 125, 146]. The broadest investigations have been performed by Mathis and Ourisson. From the essential oils of H. perforatum, H. hirsutum, and

H. calycinum L. they have isolated and identified 13 compounds represented by monoterpenes, monoterpene alcohols, saturated hydrocarbons, saturated aldehydes, and sesquiterpenes. They studied their distribution in 35 species [121-123]. The compositions of the essential oils of another two species — H. ericoides [125] and H. elodeoides Choisy [146] — have been studied in detail.

The essential oil of St. John's wort has not found independent use in medicine, but its complex action in ethanolic and oil extracts used in medical practice is not excluded.

The presence of nonvolatile saturated hydrocarbons (alkanes and alkanols) in H. perforatum has been studied by a number of authors [116, 127, 128], but the most profound and critical study was performed by Brondz et al. They discovered normal alkanes with hydrocarbon chains from C<sub>16</sub> to C<sub>29</sub>. The main component was nonacosane (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>60</sub>) [129]. Alkanols were represented by tetracosan-l-ol, hexacosan-l-ol, octacosan-l-ol, and triacontan-l-ol [130]. In addition to common St. John's wort (H. perforatum) another six species have been studied for the presence of hydrocarbons. The main components in H. ericoides are octacosanel and octacosanal [125], in the roots of H. laxiusculum A St. Hil they are octacosane (C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>58</sub>), and in H. connatum Lam. octatriacontane (C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>78</sub>) [39]. Nonacosane and a mixture of unbranched hydrocarbons (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>40</sub>, C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>44</sub>, and C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>48</sub>) have been obtained from the seeds of H. androsaemum, and a mixture with C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>56</sub> as the main component from the seeds of H. elatum Ait. [76, 126]. Octacosanol has also been isolated from H. mysorense [139]. High-molecular-weight alcohols have been found in H. japonicaum [64].

#### OTHER COMPOUNDS

Comparatively few investigations have been devoted to the study of other compounds in the Hypericum genus. There is information on a study of the composition of the fatty acids of some species [8, 131]. Choline [48, 132, 133], carotenes [48, 134], ascorbic acid [48, 134, 135], and amino acids [66] have been detected in some species. Costes found that in the leaves of common St. John's wort almost 95% of the carotenoids were represented by epoxyxanthophylls and considered that the use of St. John's wort flowers on burns can be explained by the great activity of the oxygen in the epoxide groups of the xanthophylls (violaxanthin, luteoxanthin, etc.) [136].

It is as yet impossible to speak with complete reliability about the presence of alkaloids and saponins. Information is found in the literature on the presence of saponins [134, 138] and of alkaloids [134], but other authors indicate their absence in representatives of the Hypericum genus [48]. β-Sitosterol (LXXIV) has been isolated in the crystalline form from H. perforatum [127] and has also been found in H. japonicum [64]. Cycloartenone (LXXV) and cycloartenone 3-acetate (LXXVI) [139] has been isolated from H. mysorense, and betulinic acid (LXXVII) has been detected and identified in the roots of H. androsaemum and H. elatum [137].

$$LXXVI R = 0 COCH_3$$

$$LXXVI R = 0 COCH_3$$

Recently, eight new natural substances containing phenyl radicals in the molecule have been isolated from *H. mysorense*: compounds (LXXVIII), (LXXIX), (LXXX), hyperenone A (LXXXII), hyperenone B (LXXXII), mysorenone B (LXXXIII), mysorenone B (LXXXIV), and mysorenone C (LXXXV) [139, 141, 147].

# CHEMOSYSTEMATIC STUDY

The systematic position of the subfamily Hypericoideae is not yet completely clear. Some authors regard it as part of the family Guttiferae [1] while others isolate it as an independent family [148, 149]. Robson, however, considers that the morphological and anatomical differences are insufficient for the separation of the two families [2].

Recently, Takhtadzhyan has also regarded the subfamily Hypericoideae as part of the family Guttiferae for which he gives the name Clusiaceae Lindl. (sensu lato) [150].

Hegnauer considers that the chemical differences are also insufficient for the separation as independent families the Guttiferae (sensu stricto) and Hypericoideae Juss. [6]. A review of literature on the chemical composition shows that the family Guttiferae. (sensu stricto) is characterized by the presence of xanthones, biflavonoids, neoflavonoids (4-phenylcoumarins), and triterpenes of the friedelin and β-amyrin groups. A distinguishing feature of the family Hypericaceae is the presence of anthrones. However, the isolation of xanthones from representatives of the Hypericum genus confirms the close relationships between the species of the subfamily Hypericoideae and other representatives of the Guttiferae family. Consequently, this class of compounds cannot be regarded as a chemotaxonomic index for the separation of the two families [49, 96, 97, 100]. The isolation of the biflavone 3,8"-bisapigenin from H. aucheri also shows the existence of certain chemosystematic links between the species of the two families [75]. For the purpose of chemosystematics, interest is presented by the search for other biflavonoids and neoflavonoids in representatives of the subfamily Hypericoideae and for anthrones in the other subfamilies of the family Guttiferae.

The distribution of anthrones in the subfamily Hypericoideae has been used for the intragenus specification of Hypericum. Even before the structure of the hypericins had been established the presence of black receptacles served as a characteristic for the classification of the species of this genus [42]. Mathis and Ourisson have made a classification of about 220 species of St. John's wort on the basis of the distribution and localization of hypericin and botanical characteristics [41]. Their results have, in the main, been adopted in Robson's new intergenus classification, one of the main taxonomic characteristics in which is the distribution of the hypericins [2, 3].

The chemosystematic value of the components of the essential oil has been studied for 35 species [122, 123]. All the species investigated were subdivided by the authors concerned into two groups: containing up to 5% of limonene in the essential oil and containing more than 10% of it. They came to the conclusion that from the chemotaxonomic point of view, hypericin is of greater value since the amount and composition of the oil depends greatly on the phase of growth and the origin of the plant material. The components of the essential oil are of great importance in the study of hybrid forms and species that are close in the morphological respect.

Lebreton and Buchez have studied the distribution of flavonoid aglycons and leucocyanidins in 21 species of St. John's wort assigned to six sections according to Keller's scheme [51]. They found that all the species contained leucocyanidin and quercetin. Although kaempferol is found in many species, its amount is more considerable only in representatives of the section Roscyna. Myricetin has been found in only nine species, and of them five also contain small amounts of leucodelphinidin. The latter, which were previously placed in the large section Euhypericum in Keller's system [86], were separated by Robson into independent sections. As can be seen from Table 1, all nine species in which myricetin has been detected belong to sections included in the "Olympia" group (sections 10-19) and the section Adenosepalum [2].

Some authors have reported the chemosystematic value of the flavonoid glycosides. Grims studied six species of St. John's wort and divided them into two groups: species containing hypericin have identical flavonoid compositions but differ in composition from species not containing hypericin [58]. Michaluk, however, on the basis of a study of eleven species, questions this conclusion of Grims [77]. Leifertova, in a comparison of the flavonoid glycosides of six species, divided them into three chemotaxonomic groups [83]. Alyukina [61] also detected some regularities in the flavonoid composition of the eight species investigated. Calie et al., in a study of seven species from the section Myriandra found that the majority of these species had different sets of flavonoids [140]. As can be seen from Table 1, hyperoside, quercitrin and rutin are found most frequently in representatives of the genus Hypericum, and at this stage of study it is difficult to make any chemosystematic conclusions whatever on the basis of the flavonoid glycosides.

The xanthones, and also other compounds found in representatives of the Hypericum genus, do not yet have any systematic value for intragenus classification because of the low level at which they have been studied. A systematic and more profound study of the chemical composition of St. John's wort species will permit the revelation of more generalizing laws in the chemosystematics of this genus.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- H. Melchior, in: Engler's Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien, Gebr. Bornträger, Berlin, Vol. 2 (1964), p. 170.
- N. K. B. Robson, Bull. Br. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), Botany, 5, 293 (1977).
- 3. N. K. B. Robson, Bull. Br. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), Botany, 8, 55 (1981).
- 4. Kh. Kh. Khalmatov, Plants of Uzbekistan with a Diuretic Action [in Russian], Tashkent (1979).
- 5. G. Kitanov, in: Biologically Active Substances of Plant Origin [in Bulgarian], Sofia, p. 58 (1980).
- 6. R. Hegnauer, Chemotaxonomie der Pfanzen. Birkhaüser Verlag, Basel und Stuttgart, Vol. 4 (1966), p. 216.
- 7. I. Carpenter, H. D. Locksley, and F. Scheinmann, Phytochemistry, 8, 2013 (1969).
- 8. F. Auster and I. Schäfer, Arzneipflanze, Thieme Verlag, Leipzig, No. 14 (1958).
- R. Benigni, C. Capra, and P. E. Cattorini, Plante Medicinali: Chimica, Farmacologia e Terapiz, Inverni e Della Beffa, Milano, Vol. 2, (1964), 755.
- V. A. Bandyukova and Kh. Kh. Khalmatov, Rast. Resur., 8, 541 (1972).
- 11. B. E. Aizenman and N. A. Derbentseva, Antimicrobial Preparations from St. John's Wort [in Russian], Kiev (1976).
- 12. H. Brockmann, M. N. Haschad, K. Maier, and F. Pohl, Naturwissenschaften, 27, 550 (1939).
- 13. H. Brockmann, F. Pohl, K, Maier, and M. N. Haschad, Ann. Chem., 553, 1 (1942).
- 14. H. Brockmann, E. H. Falkenhausen, and A. Dorlars, Naturwissenschaften, 37, 540 (1950).
- H. Brockmann, E. H. Falkenhausen, R. Neeff, A. Doriars, and G. Budde, Chem. Ber., 84, 865 (1951).
- 16. H. Brockmann and F. Kluge, Naturwissenschaften, 38, 141 (1951).
- 17. H. Brockmann and H. Muxfeldt, Naturwissenschaften, 40, 411 (1953).
- 18.
- H. Brockmann, F. Kluge, and H. Muxfeldt, Chem. Ber., 90, 2302 (1957).
  G. Rodewald, R. Arnold, I. Griesler, and W. Steglich, Angew. Chem., 89, 56 (1977). 19.
- 20. N. Pace and G. Mackinney, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 63, 2570 (1941).
- 21. R. C. Betty and V. M. Trikojus, Aust. J. Sci., No. 3, 100 (1941).
- 22. R. C. Betty and V. M. Trikojus, Aust. J. Exp. Biol. Med. Sci., No. 21, 175 (1943).
- 23. H. Brockmann and W. Sanne, Naturwissenschaften, 40, 461 (1953).
- 24. H. Brockmann and G. Pampus, Naturwissenschaften, 41, 86 (1954).
- 25. H. Brockmann and W. Sanne, Chem. Ber., 90, 2480 (1957).
- 26. H. Brockmann, U. Franssen, D. Spitzner, and H. Augustiniak, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991 (1974).
- 27. H. Brockmann and D. Spitzner, Tetrahedron Lett., 37 (1975).
- 28. D. W. Cameron and W. D. Rawerty, Aust. J. Chem., 29, 1523 (1976).
- 29. H. Brockmann and W. Sanne, Naturwissenschaften, 40, 509 (1953).
- 30. H. J. Banks, D. W. Cameron, and W. D. Rawerty, Aust. J. Chem., 29, 1509 (1976).
- 31. H. Brockmann and H. Eggers, Chem. Ber., 91, 81 (1958).

- 32. H. Brockmann and H. Eggers, Chem. Ber., 91, 547 (1958).
- 33. D. Spitzner, Angew. Chem., 89, 55 (1977).
- 34. A. Kuy and R. Hegnauer, Pharm. Weekblad, 87, 179 (1952).
- 35. T. Kariyone and N. Kawano, J. Pharm. Soc. Jpn., 73, 204 (1953).
- 36. L. Roth, Dtsch. Apoth. Ztg., 93, 653 (1953).
- 37. Z. Brunarska, Diss. Pharm. PAN 14, 89 (1962).
- 38. M. Grims, Acta Pharmac. Jugosl.  $\frac{9}{9}$ , 59 (1959).
- 39. R. Salques, Qual. Plant et Mater. Veget., <u>8</u>, 38 (1961).
- 40. M. Corovic, L. Stjepanovic, and R. Nikolic, Arch. Farm. (Belgrade), 15, 439 (1965).
- 41. C. Mathis and G. Ourisson, Phytochemistry, 2, 157 (1963).
- 42. B. Stefanov, God. Sof. Univ. U. Agronomoles. Fak., <u>10</u>, 19 (1932).
- 43. E. Wenzel, Z. Ges. Inner. Med., 14, 954 (1959).
- 44. R. F. Weiss, Lehrbuch der Phytotherapie, Stuttgart (1974), p. 296.
- 45. Romanian Patent No. 75903, cl. A61 K 9/28 (1981).
- 46. O. Suzuki, J. Katsumata, M. Oya, S. Bladt, and H. Wagner, Planta Med., 50, 272 (1984).
- 47. A. Michaluk, Z. Brunarska, and D. Bednarska, Diss. Pharm. PAN, 8, 46 (1956).
- 48. K. K. Shakirova and R. L. Khazanovits, Farmatsiya, No. 5, 32 (1969).
- 49. G. Kitanov and G. Batseva, Farmatsiya (Sofia), No. 1, 21 (1981).
- 50. A. Michaluk, Diss. Pharm. PAN, <u>13</u>, 81 (1961).
- 51. P. Lebreton and M.-P. Buchez, Phytochemistry 6, 1601 (1967).
- 52. Kh. Akhtardzhiev and G. Kitanov, Farmatsiya (Sofia), No. 2, 17 (1974).
- 53. Kh. Akhtardzhiev, G. Kitanov, and B. Atanasov, Problemi na Farmatsiyata [Bulgarian], 3, 9 (1975).
- 54. G. Kitanov, Kh. Akhtardzhiev, and K. Blinova, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 269 (1978).
- 55. G. Kitanov, Farmatsiya (Sofia), No. 2, 19 (1983).
- 56. G. Natien and P. Lebreton, Ann. Pharm. Franc, 22, 69 (1964).
- 57. Z. Jerzmanowska, Wiadomosci Farm., <u>64</u>, 527 (1937).
- 58. M. Grims, Acta Pharmac. Jugosl., 9, 113 (1959).
- 59. V. A. Bandyukova and Kh. Kh. Khalmatov, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 214 (1966).
- 60. V. A. Bandyukova and S. F. Dzhumyrko, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 270 (1970).
- 61. L. S. Alyukina, Tr. Inst. Bot. Akad. Nauk Kaz.SSR, 28, 161 (1970).
- 62. N. Nikolov, Kh. Zakhariev, and Kh. Akhtardzhiev, Problemi na Farmatsiyata [Bulgarian], 2, 55 (1974).
- 63. 0. P. Kalamarchuk, Farm. Zh., No. 2, 77 (1975).
- 64. Chao-Tung Chen and Chi-Chuen Yang, Bull. Inst. Chem. Acad. Sci., 23, 16 (1976), (Chem. Abstr., 86, 27678 (1977).
- 65. L. B. Shatunova, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 520 (1978).
- 66. M. O. Karryev and N. V. Komissarenko, Izv. Akad. Nauk TurkmSSSR, Ser. Biol. Nauk, No. 3, 52 (1980).
- 67. Li-Zhen Gu and Hong-Bin Zhang, Chin Wo Hsueh Pao, <u>22</u>, 151 (1980), (Chem. Abstr., <u>94</u>, 1997 (1981)).
- 68. M. L. Cardona and E. Seoane, Phytochemistry, 21, 2759 (1982).
- 69. M. J. Alberto, E. Villar, and E. Seoane, An. Quim. Ser. C. (Spain), <u>77</u>, 355 (1981). (Chem. Abstr., 96, 214363 (1982)).
- 70. P. Lebreton and M.-P. Bouchez, Plant. Med. Phytother., 1, 188 (1967).
- 71. S. G. Zaichikova and E. I. Barabanov, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 718 (1980).
- 72. G. Gu S. Feng, and X. Wang, Zhongcaoyao, <u>14</u>, 347 (1983). (Chem. Abstr., <u>100</u>, 3473 (1984)).
- 73. G. Kitanov, K. F. Blinova, and Kh. Akhtardzhiev, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 231 (1979).
- 74. G. Kitanov, K. F. Blinova, and Kh. Akhtardzhiev, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 154 (1979).
- 75. G. Kitanov, Farmatsiya (Sofia), No. 5, p. 13 (1985).
- 76. K. R. Hargreaves, Nature (London), <u>211</u>, 417 (1966).
- 77. A. Michaluk, Diss. Pharm. PAN, 13, 73 (1961).
- 78. Zhao-Quan Wang and Xian-Rong Wang, Yao Hsueh Hsueh Pao, 367 (1980). (Chem. Abstr., 94, 2009 (1981)).
- 79. G. Kitanov, K. F. Blinova, Kh. Akhtardzhiev, and V. Rumenin, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 854 (1979).
- 80. V. A. Baldyukova and E. T. Abanesov, Rast. Resur., 11, 334 (1975).
- 81. Kh. Akhtardzhiev, N. Nakov, and G. Atanasov, Farmatsiya (Sofia), 6, 35 (1972).
- 82. G. Kitanov and Chr. Achtarjiev, Pharmazie, 34, 447 (1979).
- 83. I. Leifertova, Preslia, 38, 386 (1966).

- 84. L. V. Shatunova, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 520 (1978).
- 85. G. G. Zapesochnaya, L. P. Kuptsova, T. V. Kashtynova, A. I. Ban'kovskii, and T. A. Mel'nikova, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 279 (1967).
- 86. R. Keller, in: A. Engler and K. Prantl, Die Naturlichen Pflanzenfamilien, Leipzig, Vol. 3, Part 6 (1893), p. 208.
- 87. A. Michaluk, Diss. Pharm. PAN, 12, 311 (1960).
- 88. Kh. Akhtardzhiev, N. Nakov, and I. Tsendov, Farmatsiya (Sofia), No. 3, 37 (1973).
- 89. N. P. Maksyutina and D. G. Kolesnikov, Med. Promst. SSSR, No. 3, 41 (1964).
- 90. G. Kitanov, Khim. Prir. Soedin., 407 (1979).
- 91. L. S. Alyukina, L. K. Klyshev, and P. M. Kunaeva, Tr. Inst. Bot. Akad. Nauk KazSSSR, 22, 128 (1966).
- 92. Z. Brunarska, Diss. Pharm. PAN., 18, 95 (1966).
- 93. P. Arends, Tetrahedron Lett., 4893 (1969).
- 94. H. Nielsen and P. Arends, Phytochemistry, <u>17</u>, 2040 (1978).
- 95. H. Nielsen and P. Arends, J. Nat. Prod.,  $4\overline{2}$ , 303 (1979).
- 96. A. A. L. Gunatilaka, S. Balasubramaniam and V. Kumar, Phytochemistry, <u>18</u>, 182 (1979).
- 97. A. A. L. Gunatilaka, A. M. Y. Jasmin De Silva, and S. Sotheeswaran, Phytochemistry, 21, 1751 (1982).
- 98. M. L. Cardona and E. Seoane, J. Nat. Prod., 45, 134 (1982).
- 99. G. Kitanov and K. F. Blinova, Khimiya Prir. Soedin., 524 (1978).
- 100. G. Kitanov and K. F. Blinova, Khimiya Prir. Soedin., 256 (1980).
- 101. K. Hostettmann and H. Wagner, Phytochemistry, 16, 821 (1977).
- 102. O. A. Denisova-Dyatlova and V. I. Glyzin, Usp. Khim., 51, 1753 (1982).
- 103. K. R. Hargreaves, Nature (London), 206, 830 (1965).
- 104. G. Kitanov and K. F. Blinova, in: Investigations on the Search for Medicinal Agents of Natural Origin: Proceedings of an All-Union Scientific Conference [in Russian], Leningrad (1981), p. 185.
- 105. B. Broda, W. Iaroniewski, and L. Swiatek, Acta Pol. Pharm., 17, 301 (1960).
- 106. D. K. Razhinskaite, Tr. Akad. Nauk Lit. SSR, 8, 89 (1971).
- 107. K. Y. Grujić and T. Bosnić, Arch. Farm. (Belgrade), 31, 273 (1981).
- 108. E. M. Osborn, Br. J. Exp. Pathol., 24, 227 (1943).
- 109. U. Hagenström, Arzneimittel Forsch., 5, 155 (1965).
- 110. H. L. Taylor and R. M. Brooker, Lloydia, 32, 217 (1969).
- 111. W. Parker and F. Johnson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 4716 (1968).
- 112. G. Gu, S. Feng, X. Wang, J. Zhou, and G. Li, Kexue Tongbao, 29, 548 (1984). Abstr., 101, 207566 (1984)).
- 113. A. I. Gurevich, V. N. Dobrynin, M. N. Kolosov, S. A. Popravko, I. D. Ryabova, V. K. Chernov, N. A. Derbentseva, B. E. Aizenman, and A. D. Garagulya, Antibiotiki, 16, 510 (1971).
- 114. N. S. Bystrov, V. N. Dobrynin, M. N. Kolosov, S. A. Popravko, and B. K. Chernov, Bioorg. Khim., 4, 791 (1978).
- 115. I. Brondz, T. Greibrokk, P. A. Groth, and A. J. Aasen, Tetrahedron Lett., 1299 (1982).
- 116. J. Zellner and Z. Porodko, Arch. Pharm., 263, 170 (1925).
- 117. E. R. Miller, J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., 16, 824 (1927).
- 118. L. Roth, Ather. Ole, Riechstoff, Parfümer., Essenz. Aromen, No. 2, 137 (1952).
- 119. Z. Brunarska, Diss. Pharm. PAN, 8, 352 (1956).
- 120. A. Gheorghiu and E. Ionescu-Matiu, Prod. Probl. Pharm., 18, 173 (1963).
- 121. C. Mathis and G. Ourisson, Phytochemistry, 3, 115 (1964).
- 122. C. Mathis and G. Ourisson, Phytochemistry,  $\frac{3}{3}$ , 133 (1964). 123. C. Mathis and G. Ourisson, Phytochemistry,  $\frac{3}{3}$ , 377 (1964).
- 124. L. Stjepanonić, M. Corović, R. Nikolić, S. Pavlović, and P. Zivanović, Arch. Farm. (Belgrade), <u>15</u>, 177 (1965).
- 125. M. L. Cardona, J. A. Marco, J. M. Sendra, E. Seoane, and J. T. Ibanez, Lipids, 18, 439 (1983).
- 126. I. Carnduff, K. R. Hargreaves, and A. Nechvatal, Phytochemistry, 5, 1029 (1966).
- 127. C. Mathis and G. Ourisson, Phytochemistry, 3, 379 (1964).
- 128. Y. P. Papageorgiu and A. M. Sagredos, Chem. Chron., 5, 379 (1964).
- 129. I. Brondz, T. Greibrokk and A. J. Aasen, Physochemistry, 22, 295 (1983).
- 130. I. Brondz, T. Greibrokk, and A. J. Aasen, J. Nat. Prod.,  $\overline{46}$ , 940 (1983).
- 131. K. R. Hargreaves, F. D. Gunstone, and G. M. Taylor, Phytochemistry, 6, 1297 (1967).
- 132. M. G. Chaplinskaya, Aptechnoe Delo, 8, 58 (1959).
- 133. B. Broda and E. Andrzejewska, Farm. Polska, 22, 181 (1966).

- 134. M. G. Chaplynskaya, Tr. L'vovsk. Med. Inst., No. 12, 51 (1957).
- 135. Kh. Kh. Khalmatov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Uzb. SSR, No. 3, 35 (1957).
- 136. C. Costes, Ann. Physiol. Veget., 9, 157 (1967).
- 137. K. R. Kargreaves, I. Carnduff, and A. Nechavatal, Phytochemistry, 7, 331 (1968).
- 138. M. Roberg, Arch. Pharm., 275, 84 (1937).
- 139. R. A. Vishwakarma, R. S. Kapil, and S. P. Popli, Indian J. Chem., 22B, 612 (1983).
- 140. P. J. Calie, E. E. Schilling, and D. H. Webb, Biochem. Syst. Ecol., 11, 107 (1983).
- 141. T. Kikuchi, S. Kadota, S. Matsuda, K. Tanaka, and T. Namba, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 33, 557 (1985).
- 142. M. L. Cardona, J. R. Pedro, E. Seoane, and R. Vidal, J. Nat. Prod., 48, 467 (1985).
- 143. T. Kosuge, H. Ishida, and T. Satoh, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 33, 202 (1985).
- 144. K. Ishiguro, M. Yamaki, S. Takagi, Y. Yamagata, and K. Tomita, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., No. 1, 26 (1985).
- 145. F. Chialva, G. Gabri, P. Liddle, and F. Ulian, Riv. Ital. EPPOS, 63, 286 (1981).
- 146. D. K. Mathela, C. S. Mathela, and Vasu Dev, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 61, 792 (1984).
- 147. T. Kikuchi, S. Kadota, S. Matsuda, and K. Tanaka, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 33, 1969 (1985).
- 148. J. Hutchinson, The Families of Flowering Plants, Clarendon Press, Oxford, Vol. 2 (1959).
- 149. A. L. Takhtadzhyan, A System and Phylogeny of Flowering Plants [in Russian], Moscow-Leningrad (1966).
- 150. A. L. Taskhtajan [Torkhtadzhyan], Bot. Rev., 46, 225 (1980).

SYNTHESIS OF METHYL ETHERS OF METHYL (METHYL  $\alpha$ -D-MANNOPYRANOSID)-URONATE

E. V. Evtushenko and Yu. S. Ovodov

UDC 547.917+543.544.45

Methyl (methyl  $\alpha$ -D-mannopyranosid)uronate (I) has been obtained by the catalytic oxidation of methyl  $\alpha$ -D-mannopyranoside with oxygen in the presence of platinum carbon with a yield of 20%. The partial methylation of (I) and preparative column chromatography on silica gel has provided a convenient method of obtaining all the methyl ethers of (I) in the individual state.

The methyl ethers of D-mannuronic acid are necessary in structural investigations of polysaccharides containing D-mannuronic acid residues. Directed syntheses of the methyl ethers of methyl (methyl  $\alpha$ -D-mannopyranosid)uronate (I) described previously [1, 2] are fairly laborious with many stages.

In the present work we have used an approach that we have suggested previously [3] for obtaining methyl ethers of methyl (methyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosid)uronate. The initial (I) was obtained with a yield of 20% by the catalytic oxidation of methyl  $\alpha$ -mannopyranoside with oxygen in the presence of platinum on carbon followed by treatment with methanol. The mixture of methyl ethers of (I) obtained after the partial methylation of (I) was separated by liquid column chromatography into fractions with the same degree of substitution and, partly,

Position of the methyl groups	$R_{j}$	$R_T$ , NPGS	$R_{\class{T}}^*$ , NPGS	$R_{T}^{*}$ , QF-1
	0.08	-	2,40	2,37
2	0,16	2,00	1.96	1,70
3	0,16	1,74	1,3;	1,00 (8,7 min)
4	0.23	2,29	1,36	1,30
2.3	0,31	1,00 (8,4 min)	1 <b>0</b> 0 (9.3 min)	0,74
2.4	0.38	0.74	0.85	0 67
3.4	1.36	0.64	0.50	0,33
2,3,4	0.51	0.32	0.36	0,22

Pacific Ocean Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Far Eastern Scientific Center, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Vladivostok. Translated from Khimiya Prirodnykh Soedinenii, No. 2, pp. 203-205, March-April, 1987. Original article submitted November 13, 1986.